

# राजपत्र, हिमाचल प्रदेश

## हिमाचल प्रदेश राज्य शासन द्वारा प्रकाशित

वीरवार, 10 नवम्बर, 2022 / 19 कार्तिक 1944

हिमाचल प्रदेश सरकार

राजस्व विभाग

अधिसूचना

शिमला-02, 14 अक्तूबर, 2022

संख्याः राजस्व—डी(एफ)4—11/2019—लूज—(मण्डी).——हिमाचल प्रदेश भू—अभिलेख नियमावली, 1992 के पैरा 3.18 में वर्णित/निर्दिष्ट प्रावधानों/मानकों में छूट देते हुए राज्यपाल, हिमाचल प्रदेश, जिला मंडी की करसोग तहसील के अन्तर्गत सात (7) नये पटवार वृत्त मण्डप नामतः मतेहल, सनारली, मनोला, काण्डी—III, भलेरा, नवींधार (बाग) व कुठेहड़ का अनुलग्नक—'क' में दिए गए विवरण अनुसार खोलने / सृजन करने का सहर्ष आदेश देते हैं। इन पटवार वृत्तों हेतु सात (7) पद पटवारी वेतनमान मु0 20,200—64,000 / —(Level-3), मांग संख्याः 5, मुख्यशीर्ष—2029—00—103—04 (गैर—योजना) एवं 7 पद अंशकालिक कार्यकर्ता (part time worker) के सृजन की भी स्वीकृति प्रदान की जाती है।

आदेश द्वारा,

हस्ताक्षरित / — (ओंकार चन्द शर्मा) प्रधान सचिव एवं वित्तायुक्त (राजस्व)।

\_\_\_\_\_

अनुलग्नंक-'क'

### (i) पटवार वृत्त लोअर करसोग के विभाजन उपरान्त नये पटवार वृत्त मतेहल की स्थिति :

राजस्व ग्राम	नं0 हदबस्त	नं0 ख0	कुल	रकबा	माल	खाता
			रकबा	मजरुआ		
मतेहल	358	1073	81	47	247	76
उपरला धरभाउग	367	31	2	1	4	7
धरभाउगा	368	124	15	11	39	13
जंगल डमेहल	369	39	105	4	8	3
जुडली	370	130	8	5	15	10
कलैहणी	356	1136	100	51	256	70
शिरगल	359	358	59	24	122	37
जंगल चलौहणी	357	1	11	11	0	1
जंगल कन्जोल	364	55	109	4	12	7
कुल योग		2947	490	158	703	224

### (ii) पटवार वृत्त मतेहल बनने के उपरान्त पटवार वृत्त लोअर करसोग की स्थिति :

राजस्व ग्राम	नं0 हदबस्त	नं0 ख0	कुल रकबा	रकबा मजरुआ	माल	खाता
बगैण	362	408	49	27	132	86
कोट	361	950	94	50	313	197
मझास	360	907	98	65	340	154
लोअर करसोग	417	1331	104	88	941	431
मसोग	355	248	30	19	134	55
चलारु	421	917	75	58	644	240
कुल योग		4761	450	307	2504	1163

### (iii) पटवार वृत्त कजौण के विभाजन नये पटवार वृत्त भनेरा की स्थितिः

क्र.	नाम मुहालल	हदबस्त	कुल	कुल खतौनी	नम्बरान	विवरण
सं.		नं0	खाताजात		खसरा	
1.	भनेरा	462	130	232	1349	
2.	कोटलू	463	44	67	471	
3.	चलोग	499	11	14	59	

4.	बगैण	500	80	153	1313	
5.	जंगल केलोधार सुरान्डा	501	1	1	4	
	कुल		266	467	3196	

### (iv) पटवार वृत्त भनेरा सृजित होने के उपरान्त पटवार वृत्त कजौण की स्थिति :

क्र.	नाम मुहालल	हदबस्त नं0	कुल	कुल खतौनी	नम्बरान खसरा	विवरण
सं.			खाताजात			
1.	ममेल	419	345	562	1163	
2.	बाग सलाणा	420	83	157	545	
3.	लोल	422	46	55	341	
4.	काओ	423	115	163	485	
5.	धलोग	464	38	77	348	
6.	जंगल महादेव बनौणी	465	1	3	4	
7.	सानणा	466	146	223	847	
8.	सुरसी	467	12	26	119	
9.	जंगल शिल्ह	468	35	53	317	
	कुल		821	1319	4169	

## (v) पटवार वृत्त भन्थल एवं अप्पर करसोग के विभाजन उपरान्त नये पटवार वृत्त सनारली की स्थिति :

भन्थल व अप्पर करसोग से	नं0	नं0 ख0	कुल	रकबा	माल	खाता
विभाजित महाल	हदबस्त		रकबा	मजरुआ		
पनचक्कर (भन्थल)	474	407	48	35	401	161
रिकी (भन्थल)	413	841	80	47	379	131
बथार (भन्थल)	480	506	268	20	97	49
बाहण गधीमण (भन्थल)	481	385	439	19	67	51
शिला गधीमण (भन्थल)	411	35	3	1	11	2
खनावडी (भन्थल)	412	230	20	11	101	32
सनारली (अप्पर करसोग)	415	1746	139	96	729	339
खनोरा (अप्पर करसोग)	414	841	78	48	324	141
जंगल टीकर करसोग (अप्पर	410	345	418	20	61	37
करसोग)						
कुल योग		5336	1493	297	2170	943

## (vi) पटवार वृत्त सनारली सृजित होने के बाद पटवार वृत्त भन्थल की स्थिति :

राजस्व ग्राम	नं0	नं0 ख0	कुल	रकबा मजरुआ	माल	खाता
	हदबस्त		रकबा			
नैहरा	475	621	71	36	270	119
द्रप्टी	476	302	26	15	129	48
भन्थल	479	1415	128	71	781	341
जंगल पहरन	486	38	139	3	15	3
पैहरन	485	110	12	5	38	13
गोथरा	478	264	26	11	96	39
पुनी	483	259	26	10	85	23

## 7428 राजपत्र, हिमाचल प्रदेश, 10 नवम्बर 2022 / 19 कार्तिक, 1944

खडकन	477	577	45	20	183	49
पराली रैहली	482	61	8	3	10	16
भण्डारनू	473	787	72	45	415	240
जंगल दोफा	484	232	845	12	47	29
कुल योग		4666	1398	231	2069	920

### (vii) पटवार वृत्त सनारली सृजित होने के बाद पटवार वृत्त अप्पर करसोग की स्थिति :

राजस्व ग्राम	नं0 हदबस्त	नं0 ख0	कुल रकबा	रकबा	माल	खाता
				मजरुआ		
करसोग	416	1690	73	40	340	606
दछैण	380	775	61	35	198	84
नौवां	398	319	34	16	109	56
बरल	418	182	22	15	129	56
सरकोल	398	169	40	22	126	26
मझार	363	69	13	6	38	13
कुल योग		3204	243	134	940	841

## (viii) पटवार वृत्त रियुंशी के विभाजन उपरान्त नये पटवार वृत्त मनोला की स्थिति :

क्र.	नाम मुहाल	हदबस्त नं0	कुल	कुल खतौनी	नम्बरान	विवरण
सं.			खाताजात		खसरा	
1.	खडौणी	243	25	26	76	
2.	जंगल करयाणी	244	1	2	2	
3.	कशमीर	245	61	100	481	
4.	जंगल कशमीर	248	1	1	1	
5.	मनोला	340	105	192	1188	
6.	जंगल चान्दो	341	1	2	5	
7.	खलोगडा	345	113	155	808	
8.	बताहली	346	37	62	261	
9.	धवास	371	33	43	275	
	कुल भाग		377	583	3097	

## (ix) पटवार वृत्त मनोला सृजित होने के उपरान्त पटवार वृत्त रियुंशी की स्थिति :

क्र.	नाम मुहाल	हदबस्त नं0	कुल	कुल खतौनी	नम्बरान	विवरण
सं.			खाताजात	खतौनी	खसरा	
1.	जंगल कोट	168	1	3	5	
2.	कोट	169	22	41	163	
3.	पंजयात्री	170	18	26	33	
4.	जंगल कनेरी	224	5	7	17	
5.	भनोल	225	46	121	645	
6.	जंगल कोट बहल	226	1	2	2	
7.	झनोल	228	9	12	18	
8.	जंगल झनोल	228	1	2	3	
9.	जंगल नागडा	232	1	1	1	
10.	नागडा	233	39	47	808	
11.	कराडला	234	20	30	248	

12.	जंगल शडई	236	1	3	11	
13.	शडई	237	19	27	149	
14.	चाकरू	238	15	23	134	
15.	जंगल कटाहच	240	6	10	41	
16.	चिठा धारठू	241	5	13	26	
17.	चुराग	242	350	525	1908	
18.	कोटला	246	10	21	19	
19.	कटाहच	247	9	10	44	
20.	जंगल कराडल	235	3	4	8	
21.	जंगल कराडल	342	1	1	1	
22.	मरान्डी	343	68	113	1137	
23.	जंगल मरान्डी	344	54	104	1112	
24.	जंगल झाओ पराला	372	10	22	110	
25.	चार कुफरी	373	4	8	34	
26.	झाओग	374	10	18	24	
			728	1194	6701	

## (x) पटवार वृत्त मेहरान के उपरान्त नये पटवार वृत्त कान्डी—III की स्थिति :

Name of Muhalat	Hadbast	Total No.	Total	Total Area	Cultivated	Total	Population
	No.	Khasra	Khatauni		Area	Maal	
जंगल धारन्डा	334	2		2			
जंगल कटाहच देहच	338	4	2	13		1	0
जंगल ढांगर	333	2	1	30			0
बलासो	335	199	32	41	29	283	138
जंगल ढांगर	337	28	10	45	3	28	31
बलासो	249	73	24	6	5	37	23
बहली	336	284	47	29	22	251	193
कान्ढी–III	339	1824	331	205	148	1594	1058
धार कान्ढलू	332	1693	192	112	74	837	552
9		4109	639	483	281	3031	1995

## (x) पटवार वृत्त काण्डी—III के सृजित होने के उपरान्त पटवार वृत्त मेहरान की वास्तविक स्थिति :

Name of	Hadbast	Total No.	Total Khatauni	Total Area	Cultivated	Total	Population
Muhalat	No.	Khasra			Area	Maal	_
मैहरन	252	2801	354	223	126	1286	1075
जिंगल	251	649	47	54	27	276	147
कान्ढी–II	290	637	43	60	21	134	140
जंगल मैहरन	250	16	4	280	0	24	0
चमोली	291	63	10	7	3	34	35
5		4166	458	624	177	1754	1397

## (xii) पटवार वृत्त महोग के विभान उपरान्त नये पटवार वृत्त नावींधार (बाग) की स्थिति :

क्रं. सं.	नाम मुहाल	कुल खाताजात	कुल खतौनी	नाम्बरान खसरा	कुल क्षेत्रफल (हैक्टेयर)	विवरण
1.	बाग / 587	89	172	1221	97	

2.	खैर / 586	97	162	725	87	
3.	शकाहल / 585	74	114	508	155	
4.	DPF जगोल / 588	2	2	3	146	
5.	बखौहण / 589	58	90	351	42	
कुल	5	320	540	2808	527	

#### (xiii) पटवार वृत्त महोग के विभाजन उपरान्त नये पटवार वृत्त कुठैहड़ की स्थिति :

क्रं. सं.	नाम मुहाल	कुल	कुल	नाम्बरान	कुल क्षेत्रफल	विवरण
		खाताजात	खतौनी	खसरा	(हैक्टेयर)	
1.	कुठेहड़ / 579	77	187	932	54	
2.	काण्डा / 580	34	78	345	78	
3.	DPF लोटला दोयम / 578	21	48	198	434	
4.	DPF लोटला अब्बल / 549	37	60	291	232	
5.	DPF गरजूब/548	11	16	68	135	
6.	रसोग दोयम/581	156	256	1116	145	
कुल	6	336	645	2950	1078	

### (xiv) पटवार वृत्त नावींधार (बाग) तथा कुठेहड़ सृजित होने के बाद पटवार वृत्त महोग की स्थिति :

क्रं. सं.	नाम मुहाल	कुल	कुल खतौनी	नाम्बरान खसरा	कुल क्षेत्रफल	विवरण
		खाताजात			(हैक्टेयर)	
1.	महोग/584	117	227	1210	100	
2.	बगून्द/583	157	260	1162	85	
3.	DPF बगून्द/582	3	13	18	59	
4.	DPF शगाव/576	20	37	155	576	
कुल	4	297	537	2545	820	

#### राजस्व विभाग

#### अधिसूचना

शिमला—02, 14 अक्तूबर, 2022

संख्याः राजस्व—डी(एफ)4—11/2019—लूज—(मण्डी).——हिमाचल प्रदेश भू—अभिलेख नियमावली, 1992 के पैरा 2.5 में वर्णित/निर्देष्ट प्रावधानों/मानकों में छूट देते हुए राज्यपाल, हिमाचल प्रदेश, तहसील बल्द्वाड़ा, जिला मंडी के अन्तर्गत वर्तमान कानूनगो वृत्त बल्द्वाड़ा, समैला एवं ठलवाण का विघटन/पुनर्गठन करते हुए एक नया कानूनगो वृत्त भाम्बला, अनुलग्नक—'क' में दिए गए विवरण अनुसार तुरंत प्रभाव से खोलने/सृजित करने का सहर्ष आदेश देते हैं।

राज्यपाल, हिमाचल प्रदेश इस कानूनगो वृत्त हेतु एक पद कानूनगो वेतनमान मु0 29,700–94,100 / –(Level-8), मांग संख्याः 5, मुख्य शीर्ष–2029–00–103–04 (गैर–योजना) तथा 1 पद अंशकालिक कार्यकर्ता (Part time worker) के सृजन की भी स्वीकृति प्रदान करते हैं।

आदेश द्वारा,

डॉ० रजनीश, प्रधान सचिव एवं वित्तायुक्त (राजस्व)।

अनुलग्नक—'क

\		\		^		\	\ \	\	٧٠ ر	$\sim$
कानूनगो वृ	न भाषत्वा र	रं ग्रासन	जागन	ਰਟਸ਼ੀਕ	तन्त्र रा	ᇔ	धंजींग	काननम	तमा क	ਜ਼ਿਲਜੀ ਦੇ ∵
יף וויויויוף	त नाम्बला ५	ויוטדא יו	044110	DIEGO	षएप्राञा	47	पात्राप	וויויויוף	9(11 9)	1 179101
		c.		-	٠, .			_	c.	

Sl. No.	Name of FK Circle	Name of PC	Total Muhalat	Total No. Khasra	Total Khata/Khatauni	Total Area in Hect.	Total Cultivated	Total Maal	Population
1.	Baldwara	Baldwara	6	6180	1293/1493	426.83	213.58	2549.42	3204
		Jehmat	8	5881	973/1026	519.41	233.74	1985.25	1979
		Nagrota	7	3533	712/837	368.34	129.36	1406.73	1729
		Chowk	7	5121	614/757	375.93	192.13	757.00	1621
		Dhanalag	7	4304	751/834	342.33	157.14	1017.50	1759
	Total		35	25019	4343/4947	2032.84	925.95	7715.90	10292
5.	Smaila	Smaila	11	5691	1277/1416	388.63	238.91	3085.10	2744
		Nagwani	9	6066	994/1124	730.43	238.44	2179.30	2618
		Kot	7	5075	951/1048	389.32	209.73	2213.00	2274
		Narola	5	4119	829/971	300.21	220.91	2127.63	1829
		Kshmaila	6	4291	640/688	420.02	167.17	1197.07	1341
		Matyara	7	3794	877/957	246.46	188.66	2062.29	1719
	Total		45	29036	5568/6204	2475.07	1263.82	12864.39	12525
3.	Bhambla	Bhambla	6	7258	1454/1695	510.37	281.80	2998.02	3392
		Tikkri	5	4826	978/1101	312.49	197.90	1984.86	2206
		Khanot	6	4337	991/1136	295.60	238.42	3141.00	2586
		Khudla	5	5250	979/1100	366.85	235.79	2939.20	2180
		Kalther	5	4509	558/646	443.28	160.63	1485.73	1247
	Total	5	27	26280	4960/5678	1928.59	1114.52	12548.81	11611
	कुल योग	16	107	80335	14871/16829	6436.50	3304.31	33129.10	34428

#### LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

#### **NOTIFICATION**

Shimla-171 001, the 5th March, 2022

**No. 11-23/84(Lab)ID/2022-Una.**—It appears to the undersigned that an industrial dispute exists between Shri Sukhdev Raj s/o Shri Kishan Chand, r/o V.P.O. Basal (Khawara), Tehsil & District Una, H.P. and the Managing Director, M/s Inox Wind Limited, Plot No.-1, Khasra No.-264-267, Industrial Area, V.P.O. Basal, Tehsil & District Una, H.P. on the issue of alleged illegal termination from services *w.e.f.* 29-02-2020 *vide* letter dated 07-03-2020 after conducting domestic enquiry.

As per the report under section-12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 submitted by the Labour Inspector-*cum*-Conciliation Officer, Una, District Una, H.P., he tried his level best to settle the dispute during conciliation proceedings but could not succeed. The report so received has been considered by the undersigned and as per power vested under sub-section-5 of Section-12 of the Act *ibid*, the undersigned has formed an opinion and decided that this dispute is required to be legally adjudicated by the Labour Court/ Industrial Tribunal.

Therefore, the undersigned while exercising the powers of appropriate Government vested in me vide Himachal Pradesh Government Notification No. Shram (A) 4-9/2006-IV-Loose, Dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under sub section-1 of Section-10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal, Kangra at Dharamshala, District Kangra, H.P. constituted under section-7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/ issues for legal adjudication:—

"Whether the termination of services of Shri Sukhdev Raj s/o Shri Kishan Chand, r/o V.P.O. Basal (Khawara), Tehsil & District Una, H.P. by the Managing Director, M/s Inox Wind Limited, Plot No.-1, Khasra No.-264-267, Industrial Area, V.P.O. Basal, Tehsil & District Una, H.P. w.e.f. 29-02-2020 vide letter dated 07-03-2020 after conducting domestic enquiry and without complying with the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, is legal and justified? If not, what amount of back wages, seniority, compensation and past service benefits the above worker is entitled to from the above employer/management?"

Sd/-Joint Labour Commissioner.

#### LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

#### **NOTIFICATION**

Shimla-171 001, the 7th October, 2021

**No.:** 11-1/11(Lab)ID/2021-Nurpur.—It appears to the undersigned that an industrial dispute exists between Shri Sushil Kumar s/o Shri Baldev Raj, r/o V.P.O. Sadwan, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. and the State Head, GVK, EMRI, 108, Opposite TV Sanitorium, Dharampur, District Solan, H.P. on the issue of alleged illegal termination from services during November 2019.

As per the report under section-12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 submitted by the Labour Inspector-*cum*-Conciliation Officer, Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P., he tried his level best to settle the dispute during conciliation proceedings but could not succeed. The report so received has been considered by the undersigned and as per power vested under sub section-5 of Section-12 of the Act *ibid*, the undersigned has formed an opinion and decided that this dispute is required to be legally adjudicated by the Labour Court/ Industrial Tribunal.

Therefore, the undersigned while exercising the powers of appropriate Government vested in me *vide* Himachal Pradesh Government Notification No.: Shram (A) 4-9/2006-IV-Loose, Dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under sub section-1 of Section-10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal, Kangra at Dharamshala, District Kangra, H.P. constituted under section-7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/ issues for legal adjudication:—

"Whether the termination of services of Shri Sushil Kumar s/o Shri Baldev Raj, r/o V.P.O. Sadwan, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. during November 2019 by the State Head, GVK, EMRI, 108, Opposite TV Sanitorium, Dharampur, District Solan, H.P., without conducting domestic enquiry and without complying with the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, is legal and justified? If not, what amount of back wages,

seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above worker is entitled to from the above employer/management?"

Sd/-

Joint Labour Commissioner.

#### LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

#### **NOTIFICATION**

Shimla-171 001, the 21st March, 2022

**No. 11-23/84(Lab)ID/2022-Una.**—It appears to the undersigned that an industrial dispute exists between Smt. Shubh Lata, w/o Shri Tilak Raj, r/o V.P.O. Bathu, Tehsil Haroli, District Una, H.P. and the Managing Director, M/s Swisskem Healthcare, V.P.O. Bela Bathri, Tehsil Haroli, District Una, H.P. on the issue of alleged illegal termination from services *w.e.f.* 14-09-2021.

As per the report under section-12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 submitted by the Labour Inspector-*cum*-Conciliation Officer, Tahliwal Circle, District Una, H.P., he tried his level best to settle the dispute during conciliation proceedings but could not succeed. The report so received has been considered by the undersigned and as per power vested under sub section-5 of Section-12 of the Act *ibid*, the undersigned has formed an opinion and decided that this dispute is required to be legally adjudicated by the Labour Court/ Industrial Tribunal.

Therefore, the undersigned while exercising the powers of appropriate Government vested in me *vide* Himachal Pradesh Government Notification No.: Shram (A) 4-9/2006-IV-Loose, Dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under sub section-1 of Section-10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal, Kangra at Dharamshala, District Kangra, H.P. constituted under Section-7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/ issues for legal adjudication:—

"Whether the termination of services of Smt. Shubh Lata, w/o Shri Tilak Raj, r/o V.P.O. Bathu, Tehsil Haroli, District Una, H.P. by the Managing Director, M/s Swisskem Healthcare, V.P.O. Bela Bathri, Tehsil Haroli, District Una, H.P. w.e.f. 14-09-2021 (as alleged by workman) without complying with the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, is legal and justified? If not, what amount of back wages, seniority, compensation and past service benefits the above worker is entitled to from the above employer/management?"

Sd/-

Joint Labour Commissioner.

#### LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

#### **NOTIFICATION**

Shimla-171 001, the 27th May, 2022

No.:11-23/84(Lab)ID/2022-Una.— It appears to the undersigned that an industrial dispute exists between Shri Sikandar s/o Shri Swaran Singh, r/o V.P.O. Haripur, Tehsil Dehra, District

Kangra, H.P. and the Factory Manager/Employer, M/S Jindal Medicot Limited, V.P.O. Thathal, Tehsil Amb, District Una, H.P. on the issue of his alleged illegal termination from services *w.e.f.* 26-08-2021.

As per the report under section-12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 submitted by the Labour Inspector-*cum*-Conciliation Officer, Amb, District Una, H.P., he tried his level best to settle the dispute during conciliation proceedings but could not succeed. The report so received has been considered by the undersigned and as per power vested under sub section-5 of Section-12 of the Act *ibid*, the undersigned has formed an opinion and decided that this dispute is required to be legally adjudicated by the Labour Court/ Industrial Tribunal.

Therefore, the undersigned while exercising the powers of appropriate Government vested in me *vide* Himachal Pradesh Government Notification No.: Shram (A) 4-9/2006-IV-Loose, Dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under sub section-1 of Section-10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal, Kangra at Dharamshala, District Kangra, H.P. constituted under Section-7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/ issues for legal adjudication:—

"Whether the termination of services of Shri Sikandar s/o Shri Swaran Singh, r/o V.P.O. Haripur, Tehsil Dehra, District Kangra, H.P. by the Factory Manager/Employer, M/s Jindal Medicot Limited, V.P.O. Thathal, Tehsil Amb, District Una, H.P. w.e.f. 26-08-2021 (as alleged by workman), without complying with the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, is legal and justified? If not, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above worker is entitled to from the above employer?"

Sd/-*Joint Labour Commissioner.* 

#### LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

#### **NOTIFICATION**

Shimla-171 001, the 26th August, 2021

**No.** 11-1/85(Lab)ID/2021-Kangra.—It appears to the undersigned that an industrial dispute exists between Shri Som Dutt s/o Shri Lalu Ram, r/o Village Thatri, P.O. Khanayara, Tehsil Dharamshala, District Kangra, H.P. and the Occupier/Factory Manager, M/s Manuni Hydro Electric Project, Village Lunta, P.O. Khanyara, Dharamshala, District Kangra, H.P. on the issue of illegal termination from services vide letter dated 31-01-2021.

As per the report under section-12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 submitted by the Labour Inspector-*cum*-Conciliation Officer, Kangra at Dharamshala, District Kangra, H.P., he tried his level best to settle the dispute during conciliation proceedings but could not succeed. The report so received has been considered by the undersigned and as per power vested under sub section-5 of Section-12 of the Act *ibid*, the undersigned has formed an opinion and decided that this dispute is required to be legally adjudicated by the Labour Court/ Industrial Tribunal.

Therefore, the undersigned while exercising the powers of appropriate Government vested in me *vide* Himachal Pradesh Government Notification No.: Shram (A) 4-9/2006-IV-Loose, Dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under sub section-1 of Section-10 of the Industrial

Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal, Kangra at Dharamshala, District Kangra, H.P. constituted under Section-7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/ issues for legal adjudication:—

"Whether the termination of services of Shri Som Dutt s/o Shri Lalu Ram, r/o Village Thatri, P.O. Khanayara, Tehsil Dharamshala, District Kangra, H.P. *vide* letter dated 31-01-2021 by the Occupier/Factory Manager, M/s Manuni Hydro Electric Project, Village Lunta, P.O. Khanyara, Dharamshala, District Kangra, H.P., without complying with the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, is legal and justified? If not, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above worker is entitled to from the above employers/management?"

Sd/-*Joint Labour Commissioner.* 

#### LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

#### NOTIFICATION

Shimla-171 001, the 21st March, 2022

**No.** 11-23/84(Lab)ID/2022-Una.—It appears to the undersigned that an industrial dispute exists between Smt. Soma Devi w/o Late Shri Makhan Singh, r/o Village Khanpur, Tehsil & District Una, H.P. and the Managing Director, M/s Swisskem Healthcare, V.P.O. Bela Bathri, Tehsil Haroli, District Una, H.P. on the issue of alleged illegal termination from services *w.e.f.* 14-09-2021.

As per the report under section-12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 submitted by the Labour Inspector-*cum*-Conciliation Officer, Tahliwal Circle, District Una, H.P., he tried his level best to settle the dispute during conciliation proceedings but could not succeed. The report so received has been considered by the undersigned and as per power vested under sub section-5 of Section-12 of the Act *ibid*, the undersigned has formed an opinion and decided that this dispute is required to be legally adjudicated by the Labour Court/ Industrial Tribunal.

Therefore, the undersigned while exercising the powers of appropriate Government vested in me *vide* Himachal Pradesh Government Notification No.: Shram (A) 4-9/2006-IV-Loose, Dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under sub section-1 of Section-10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal, Kangra at Dharamshala, District Kangra, H.P. constituted under Section-7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/ issues for legal adjudication:-

"Whether the termination of services of Smt. Soma Devi w/o Late Shri Makhan Singh, r/o Village Khanpur, Tehsil & District Una, H.P. by the Managing Director, M/S Swisskem Healthcare, V.P.O. Bela Bathri, Tehsil Haroli, District Una, H.P. w.e.f. 14-09-2021 (as alleged by workman) without complying with the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, is legal and justified? If not, what amount of back wages, seniority, compensation and past service benefits the above worker is entitled to from the above employer/management?"

#### LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

#### **NOTIFICATION**

Shimla-171 001, the 27th May, 2022

**No. 11-23/84(Lab)ID/2022-Una.**—It appears to the undersigned that an industrial dispute exists between Shri Sona Singh s/o Shri Ramanand Singh, r/o # 374, Verdman Mill, Chandigarh, Road, Ludhiana, Punjab and the Factory Manager/Employer, M/s Jindal Medicot Limited, V.P.O. Thathal, Tehsil Amb, District Una, H.P. on the issue of his alleged illegal termination from services *w.e.f.* 12-07-2021.

As per the report under section-12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 submitted by the Labour Inspector-*cum*-Conciliation Officer, Amb, District Una, H.P., he tried his level best to settle the dispute during conciliation proceedings but could not succeed. The report so received has been considered by the undersigned and as per power vested under sub section-5 of Section-12 of the Act *ibid*, the undersigned has formed an opinion and decided that this dispute is required to be legally adjudicated by the Labour Court/ Industrial Tribunal.

Therefore, the undersigned while exercising the powers of appropriate Government vested in me *vide* Himachal Pradesh Government Notification No.: Shram (A) 4-9/2006-IV-Loose, Dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under sub section-1 of Section-10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal, Kangra at Dharamshala, District Kangra, H.P. constituted under Section-7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/ issues for legal adjudication:-

"Whether the termination of services of Shri Sona Singh s/o Shri Ramanand Singh, r/o # 374, Verdman Mill, Chandigarh Road, Ludhiana, Punjab by the Factory Manager/Employer, M/s Jindal Medicot Limited, V.P.O. Thathal, Tehsil Amb, District Una, H.P. w.e.f. 12-07-2021 (as alleged by workman), without complying with the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, is legal and justified? If not, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above worker is entitled to from the above employer?"

Sd/-Joint Labour Commissioner.

#### LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

#### **NOTIFICATION**

Shimla-171 001, the 24th February, 2021

**No.** 11-1/85(Lab)ID/2021-Kangra.—It appears to the undersigned that an industrial dispute exists between Shri Soni Kumar s/o Shri Roshan Lal, r/o Village Jeer Bhal, P.O. Bassi, Tehsil & District Kangra, H. P. and the Proprietor, M/s New Prem Bus Service, V.P.O. Nagrota Bagwan, District Kangra, H.P. on the issue of alleged illegal termination from services during March, 2020.

As per the report under section-12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 submitted by the Labour Officer-*cum*-Conciliation Officer, Kangra at Dharamshala, District Kangra, H.P., he tried his level best to settle the dispute during conciliation proceedings but could not succeed. The report so received has been considered by the undersigned and as per power vested under sub section-5 of Section-12 of the Act *ibid*, the undersigned has formed an opinion and decided that this dispute is required to be legally adjudicated by the Labour Court/ Industrial Tribunal.

Therefore, the undersigned while exercising the powers of appropriate Government vested in me *vide* Himachal Pradesh Government Notification No.: Shram (A) 4-9/2006-IV-Loose, Dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under sub section-1 of Section-10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal, Kangra at Dharamshala, District Kangra, H.P. constituted under Section-7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/ issues for legal adjudication:—

"Whether the termination of services of Shri Soni Kumar s/o Shri Roshan Lal, r/o Village Jeer Bhal, P.O. Bassi, Tehsil & District Kangra, H. P. by the Proprietor, M/S New Prem Bus Service, V.P.O. Nagrota Bagwan, District Kangra, H.P. during March, 2020 without complying with the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, is legal and justified? If not, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above worker is entitled to from the above employer?"

Sd/-Deputy Labour Commissioner.

#### LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

#### **NOTIFICATION**

Shimla-171 001, the 4th May, 2022

**No. 11-1/85(Lab)ID/2022-Dehra.**—It appears to the undersigned that an industrial dispute exists between Smt. Shakuntla Devi w/o Shri Mukesh Kumar r/o Village Sukhnal, P.O. & Tehsil Jawalamukhi, District Kangra, H.P. and the Block Medical Officer-*cum*-Chairman, Executive Committee, Rogi Kalyan Samiti, Civil Hospital, Jawalamukhi, District Kangra, H.P. on the issue of her alleged illegal termination from services *w.e.f.* 01-01-2022.

As per the report under section-12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 submitted by the Labour Inspector-*cum*-Conciliation Officer, Dehra, District Kangra, H.P., he tried his level best to settle the dispute during conciliation proceedings but could not succeed. The report so received has been considered by the undersigned and as per power vested under sub section-5 of Section-12 of the Act *ibid*, the undersigned has formed an opinion and decided that this dispute is required to be legally adjudicated by the Labour Court/ Industrial Tribunal.

Therefore, the undersigned while exercising the powers of appropriate Government vested in me *vide* Himachal Pradesh Government Notification No.: Shram (A) 4-9/2006-IV-Loose, Dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under sub section-1 of Section-10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal, Kangra at Dharamshala, District Kangra, H.P. constituted under Section-7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/ issues for legal adjudication:—

"Whether the termination of services of Smt. Shakuntla Devi w/o Shri Mukesh Kumar r/o Village Sukhnal, P.O. & Tehsil Jawalamukhi, District Kangra, H.P. by the Block Medical Officer-cum-Chairman, Executive Committee, Rogi Kalyan Samiti, Civil Hospital, Jawalamukhi, District Kangra, H.P. w.e.f. 01-01-2022, without complying with the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, is legal and justified? If not, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above worker is entitled to from the above employer?"

Sd/-*Joint Labour Commissioner.* 

#### LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

#### **NOTIFICATION**

Shimla-171 001, the 5th May, 2022

**No. 11-1/85(Lab)ID/2022-Dehra.**—It appears to the undersigned that an industrial dispute exists between Smt. Shakuntla Devi w/o Shri Gurvachan Singh r/o Village Rukwal Lahad, P.O. Ghalour, Tehsil Jawalamukhi, District Kangra, H.P. and the Block Medical Officer-*cum*-Chairman, Executive Committee, Rogi Kalyan Samiti, Civil Hospital, Jawalamukhi, District Kangra, H.P. on the issue of her alleged illegal termination from services *w.e.f.* 01-01-2022.

As per the report under section-12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 submitted by the Labour Inspector-*cum*-Conciliation Officer, Dehra, District Kangra, H.P., he tried his level best to settle the dispute during conciliation proceedings but could not succeed. The report so received has been considered by the undersigned and as per power vested under sub section-5 of Section-12 of the Act *ibid*, the undersigned has formed an opinion and decided that this dispute is required to be legally adjudicated by the Labour Court/ Industrial Tribunal.

Therefore, the undersigned while exercising the powers of appropriate Government vested in me *vide* Himachal Pradesh Government Notification No.: Shram (A) 4-9/2006-IV-Loose, Dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under sub section-1 of Section-10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal, Kangra at Dharamshala, District Kangra, H.P. constituted under Section-7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/ issues for legal adjudication:-

"Whether the termination of services of Smt. Shakuntla Devi w/o Shri Gurvachan Singh r/o Village Rukwal Lahad, P.O. Ghalour, Tehsil Jawalamukhi, District Kangra, H.P. by the Block Medical Officer-*cum*-Chairman, Executive Committee, Rogi Kalyan Samiti, Civil Hospital, Jawalamukhi, District Kangra, H.P. *w.e.f.* 01-01-2022, without complying with the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, is legal and justified? If not, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above worker is entitled to from the above employer?"

#### LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

#### **NOTIFICATION**

Shimla-171 001, the 5th May, 2022

**No. 11-1/85(Lab)ID/2022-Dehra.**—It appears to the undersigned that an industrial dispute exists between Shri Shashi Kant s/o Shri Desh Raj, r/o V.P.O. Hasal Chokath, Tehsil Jawalamukhi, District Kangra, H.P. and the Principal, D.A.V. Public Senior Secondary School, V.P.O. Bhadoli, Tehsil Jawalamukhi, District Kangra, H.P. on the issue of his alleged illegal termination from services during November, 2020.

As per the report under section-12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 submitted by the Labour Inspector-*cum*-Conciliation Officer, Dehra, District Kangra, H.P., he tried his level best to settle the dispute during conciliation proceedings but could not succeed. The report so received has been considered by the undersigned and as per power vested under sub section-5 of Section-12 of the Act *ibid*, the undersigned has formed an opinion and decided that this dispute is required to be legally adjudicated by the Labour Court/ Industrial Tribunal.

Therefore, the undersigned while exercising the powers of appropriate Government vested in me *vide* Himachal Pradesh Government Notification No.: Shram (A) 4-9/2006-IV-Loose, Dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under sub section-1 of Section-10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal, Kangra at Dharamshala, District Kangra, H.P. constituted under Section-7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/ issues for legal adjudication:—

"Whether the termination of services of Shri Shashi Kant s/o Shri Desh Raj, r/o V.P.O. Hasal Chokath, Tehsil Jawalamukhi, District Kangra, H.P. by the Principal, D.A.V. Public Senior Secondary School, V.P.O. Bhadoli, Tehsil Jawalamukhi, District Kangra, H.P. during November, 2020, without complying with the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, is legal and justified? If not, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above worker is entitled to from the above employer?"

Sd/Joint Labour Commissioner,

#### LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

#### **NOTIFICATION**

Shimla-171 001, the 5th May, 2022

**No.** 11-5/99(Lab)ID/2021-Chamba.—It appears to the undersigned that an industrial dispute exists between Shri Sher Singh s/o Shri Baija Ram, r/o Village Makalwani, P.O. Tikrigarh, Tehsil Churah, District Chamba, H.P. and the Managing Director, I.A. Energy, 36MW, Power Project Chanju-I, Kathwar, Tehsil Churah, District Chamba, H.P. on the issue of his alleged verbal termination from services *w.e.f.* 01-04-2017.

As per the report under Section-12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 submitted by the Labour Inspector-*cum*-Conciliation Officer, Chamba, District Chamba, H.P., he tried his level best

to settle the dispute during conciliation proceedings but could not succeed. The report so received has been considered by the undersigned and as per power vested under sub section-5 of Section-12 of the Act *ibid*, the undersigned has formed an opinion and decided that this dispute is required to be legally adjudicated by the Labour Court/ Industrial Tribunal.

Therefore, the undersigned while exercising the powers of appropriate Government vested in me *vide* Himachal Pradesh Government Notification No.: Shram (A) 4-9/2006-IV-Loose, Dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under sub section-1 of Section-10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal, Kangra at Dharamshala, District Kangra, H.P. constituted under Section-7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/ issues for legal adjudication:—

"Whether the verbal termination of services of Shri Sher Singh s/o Shri Baija Ram, r/o Village Makalwani, P.O. Tikrigarh, Tehsil Churah, District Chamba, H.P. w.e.f. 01-04-2017 by the Managing Director, I.A. Energy, 36MW, Power Project Chanju-I, Kathwar, Tehsil Churah, District Chamba, H.P., without complying with the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, is legal and justified? If not, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above worker is entitled to from the above employer?"

Sd/-Deputy Labour Commissioner.

#### LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

#### NOTIFICATION

Shimla-171 001, the 5th March, 2021

No. 11-23/84(Lab)ID/2021-Una.—An industrial dispute has been raised by the group of workmen S/Shri Satish Kumar, Karnail Singh, Dharam Singh, and Jagvinder Singh through their authorized representative Shri Rakesh Sharma, State President (BMS) & Member of EPF State HP, HQ Ward No. 9, Near Geeta Bhawan, Municipal Committee, Santokhgarh, District Una, H.P. u/s 2-K and 9-A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 and it appears to the undersigned that an industrial dispute exists between the group of workmen and (i) the Managing Director, DAV College Managing Committee, Chitra Gupta Road, New Delhi-110055 (ii) the Principal/Manager, DAV Senior Secondary Public School, Ambota, District Una, H.P. on the issue of alleged changes in the service conditions of the workmen from contract basis to put their services through outsource agency by the employer without following the provisions of Section-9A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 w.e.f. April, 2019.

As per the report under section-12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 submitted by the Labour Inspector-*cum*-Conciliation Officer, Amb, District Una, H.P., he tried his level best to settle the dispute during conciliation proceedings but could not succeed. The report so received has been considered by the undersigned and as per power vested under sub-section-5 of Section-12 of the Act *ibid*, the undersigned has formed an opinion and decided that this dispute is required to be legally adjudicated by the Labour Court/ Industrial Tribunal.

Therefore, the undersigned while exercising the powers of appropriate Government vested in me *vide* Himachal Pradesh Government Notification No.: Shram (A) 4-9/2006-IV-Loose, Dated

15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under sub section-1 of Section-10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal, Kangra at Dharamshala, District Kangra, H.P. constituted under section-7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/ issues for legal adjudication:—

"Whether the action of the employers *i.e.* (i) the Managing Director, DAV College Managing Committee, Chitra Gupta Road, New Delhi-110055 (ii) the Principal/Manager, DAV Senior Secondary Public School, Ambota, District Una, H.P. to change the service conditions of S/Shri Satish Kumar, Karnail Singh, Dharam Singh, and Jagvinder Singh from contract basis to out source agency *w.e.f.* April, 2019 without complying with the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, is legal and justified? If not, what relief of service benefits, continuity in service, seniority and regularization the above workmen are entitled to from the aforesaid employers?"

Sd/DeputyLabour Commissioner.

#### LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

#### **NOTIFICATION**

Shimla-171 001, the 25th August, 2021

**No. 11-23/84(Lab)ID/2021-Una.**— It appears to the undersigned that an industrial dispute exists between Shri Som Dutt and others workers of M/s Luminous Power Technologies (P) Limited, Unit-5, Gagret, Tehsil Amb, District Una, H.P. and the Occupier/Factory Manager, M/s Luminous Power Technologies Private Limited, Unit-5, Gagret, Tehsil Amb, District Una, H.P. on the issue of demands raised *vide* demand notice dated 30-08-2019.

As per the report under section-12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 submitted by the Labour Officer-*cum*-Conciliation Officer, Una, District Una, H.P., he tried his level best to settle the dispute during conciliation proceedings but could not succeed. The report so received has been considered by the undersigned and as per power vested under sub section-5 of Section-12 of the Act *ibid*, the undersigned has formed an opinion and decided that this dispute is required to be legally adjudicated by the Labour Court/ Industrial Tribunal.

Therefore, the undersigned while exercising the powers of appropriate Government vested in me *vide* Himachal Pradesh Government Notification No.: Shram (A) 4-9/2006-IV-Loose, Dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under sub section-1 of Section-10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal, Kangra at Dharamshala, District Kangra, H.P. constituted under section-7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/ issues for legal adjudication:—

"Whether demands No. (2),(3),(4),(5),(11) and (12) as raised *vide* demand notice dated 30-08-2019 (**copy enclosed**) by Shri Som Dutt and others workers of M/s Luminous Power Technologies (P) Limited, Unit-5, Gagret, Tehsil Amb, District Una, H.P. to be fulfilled by the Occupier/Factory Manager, M/S Luminous Power Technologies Private Limited, Unit-

5, Gagret, Tehsil Amb, District Una, H.P., are legal and justified? If yes, what monetary and other consequential service benefits, the above mentioned workmen are entitled to? and if not, its effects?"

Sd/-*Joint Labour Commissioner,* 

#### LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

#### **NOTIFICATION**

Shimla-171 001, the 5th March, 2022

**No. 11-23/84(Lab)ID/2022-Una.**—It appears to the undersigned that an industrial dispute exists between Shri Sansar Chand s/o Shri Sagli Ram, r/o V.P.O. Badoli, Tehsil & District Una, H.P. and the Managing Director, M/s Inox Wind Limited, Plot No.-1, Khasra No.-264-267, Industrial Area, V.P.O. Basal, Tehsil & District Una, H.P. on the issue of alleged illegal termination from services *w.e.f.* 29-02-2020 *vide* letter dated 07-03-2020 after conducting domestic enquiry.

As per the report under section-12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 submitted by the Labour Inspector-*cum*-Conciliation Officer, Una, District Una, H.P., he tried his level best to settle the dispute during conciliation proceedings but could not succeed. The report so received has been considered by the undersigned and as per power vested under sub section-5 of Section-12 of the Act *ibid*, the undersigned has formed an opinion and decided that this dispute is required to be legally adjudicated by the Labour Court/ Industrial Tribunal.

Therefore, the undersigned while exercising the powers of appropriate Government vested in me *vide* Himachal Pradesh Government Notification No.: Shram (A) 4-9/2006-IV-Loose, Dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under sub section-1 of Section-10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal, Kangra at Dharamshala, District Kangra, H.P. constituted under section-7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/ issues for legal adjudication:—

"Whether the termination of services of Shri Sansar Chand s/o Shri Sagli Ram, r/o V.P.O. Badoli, Tehsil & District Una, H.P. by the Managing Director, M/s Inox Wind Limited, Plot No.-1, Khasra No.-264-267, Industrial Area, V.P.O. Basal, Tehsil & District Una, H.P. w.e.f. 29-02-2020 vide letter dated 07-03-2020 after conducting domestic enquiry and without complying with the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, is legal and justified? If not, what amount of back wages, seniority, compensation and past service benefits the above worker is entitled to from the above employer/management?"

#### LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

#### **NOTIFICATION**

Shimla-171 001, the 28th April, 2022

**No.** 11-2/86(Lab)ID/2022-Bilaspur.—It appears to the undersigned that an industrial dispute exists between Shri Saroj Kumar s/o Shri Prem Singh, r/o Village Tihra, P.O. Malyavar, Tehsil Ghumarwin, District Bilaspur, H.P. and the Divisional Forest Officer, Forest Division Bilaspur, District Bilaspur, H.P. on the issue of his alleged termination from services *w.e.f.* 01-07-2018.

As per the report under section-12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 submitted by the Labour Officer-*cum*-Conciliation Officer, Bilaspur, District Bilaspur, H.P., she tried her level best to settle the dispute during conciliation proceedings but could not succeed. The report so received has been considered by the undersigned and as per power vested under sub section-5 of Section-12 of the Act *ibid*, the undersigned has formed an opinion and decided that this dispute is required to be legally adjudicated by the Labour Court/ Industrial Tribunal.

Therefore, the undersigned while exercising the powers of appropriate Government vested in me *vide* Himachal Pradesh Government Notification No.: Shram (A) 4-9/2006-IV-Loose, Dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under sub section-1 of Section-10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal, Kangra at Dharamshala, District Kangra, H.P. constituted under section-7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/ issues for legal adjudication:—

"Whether the termination of services of Shri Saroj Kumar s/o Shri Prem Singh, r/o Village Tihra, P.O. Malyavar, Tehsil Ghumarwin, District Bilaspur, H.P. w.e.f. 01-07-2018 by the Divisional Forest Officer, Forest Division Bilaspur, District Bilaspur, H.P., without complying with the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, is legal and justified? If not, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits, regularization and compensation the above worker is entitled to from the above employer?"

Sd/Joint Labour Commissioner.

#### LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

#### **NOTIFICATION**

Shimla-171 001, the 1st February, 2021

**No.** 11-23/84(Lab)ID/2021-Una.—It appears to the undersigned that an industrial dispute exists between Shri Satish Kumar s/o Shri Bhagwan Dass, r/o Village Langdi, P.O. Tahliwal, Tehsil Haroli, District Una, H.P. and the Managing Director, M/s Deepak Fasteners Limited, Unit-II, Industrial Area Tahliwal, Tehsil Haroli, District Una, H.P. on the issue of alleged termination of his services during June, 2020.

As per the report under section-12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 submitted by the Labour Inspector-*cum*-Conciliation Officer, Tahliwal Circle, District Una, H.P., he tried his level

best to settle the dispute during conciliation proceedings but could not succeed. The report so received has been considered by the undersigned and as per power vested under sub section-5 of Section-12 of the Act *ibid*, the undersigned has formed an opinion and decided that this dispute is required to be legally adjudicated by the Labour Court/ Industrial Tribunal.

Therefore, the undersigned while exercising the powers of appropriate Government vested in me *vide* Himachal Pradesh Government Notification No.: Shram (A) 4-9/2006-IV-Loose, Dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under sub section-1 of Section-10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal, Kangra at Dharamshala, District Kangra, H.P. constituted under section-7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/ issues for legal adjudication:—

"Whether termination of the services of Shri Satish Kumar S/O Shri Bhagwan Dass, R/O Village Langdi, P.O. Tahliwal, Tehsil Haroli, District Una, H.P. by the Managing Director, M/s Deepak Fasteners Limited, Unit-II, Industrial Area Tahliwal, Tehsil Haroli, District Una, H.P. during June, 2020, without complying with the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, is legal and justified? If not, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above worker is entitled to from the above employer?"

Sd/-

Joint Labour Commissioner.

#### LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

#### **NOTIFICATION**

Shimla-171 001, the 15th June, 2021

**No.** 11-23/84(Lab)ID/2021-Una.—It appears to the undersigned that an industrial dispute exists between Shri Saurabh Kumar s/o Shri Jaswinder, r/o V.P.O. Bathu, Ward No.-7, Tehsil Haroli, District Una, H.P. and the Managing Director, M/s Asterisk Healthcare, V.P.O. Bathri, Tehsil Haroli, District Una, H.P. on the issue of his alleged illegal termination from services *w.e.f.* 01-09-2020.

As per the report under section-12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 submitted by the Labour Inspector-*cum*-Conciliation Officer, Tahliwal, District Una, H.P., he tried his level best to settle the dispute during conciliation proceedings but could not succeed. The report so received has been considered by the undersigned and as per power vested under sub section-5 of Section-12 of the Act *ibid*, the undersigned has formed an opinion and decided that this dispute is required to be legally adjudicated by the Labour Court/ Industrial Tribunal.

Therefore, the undersigned while exercising the powers of appropriate Government vested in me *vide* Himachal Pradesh Government Notification No.: Shram (A) 4-9/2006-IV-Loose, Dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under sub section-1 of Section-10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal, Kangra at Dharamshala, District Kangra, H.P. constituted under section-7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/ issues for legal adjudication:—

"Whether the termination of services of Shri Saurabh Kumar S/O Shri Jaswinder, R/O V.P.O. Bathu, Ward No.-7, Tehsil Haroli, District Una, H.P. w.e.f. 01-09-2020 by the Managing Director, M/s Asterisk Healthcare, V.P.O. Bathri, Tehsil Haroli, District Una, H.P., without complying with the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, is legal and justified? If not, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above worker is entitled to from the above employer/Management?"

Sd/Joint Labour Commissioner.

#### LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

#### **NOTIFICATION**

Shimla-171 001, the 17th February, 2021

**No. 11-1/85(Lab)ID/2021-Dehra.**—It appears to the undersigned that an industrial dispute exists between Ms. Seema d/o Shri Dev Raj, r/o V.P.O. Rainta, Tehsil Dehra, District Kangra, H.P. and the Project Director, Him Institute for Rural Development TI, Office Near Petrol Pump, V.P.O. Khola, Tehsil Jawalamukhi, District Kangra, H.P. on the issue of alleged termination from services during September, 2020.

As per the report under section-12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 submitted by the Labour Inspector-*cum*-Conciliation Officer, Dehra, District Kangra, H.P., he tried his level best to settle the dispute during conciliation proceedings but could not succeed. The report so received has been considered by the undersigned and as per power vested under sub section-5 of Section-12 of the Act *ibid*, the undersigned has formed an opinion and decided that this dispute is required to be legally adjudicated by the Labour Court/ Industrial Tribunal.

Therefore, the undersigned while exercising the powers of appropriate Government vested in me *vide* Himachal Pradesh Government Notification No.: Shram (A) 4-9/2006-IV-Loose, Dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under sub section-1 of Section-10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal, Kangra at Dharamshala, District Kangra, H.P. constituted under section-7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/ issues for legal adjudication:—

"Whether the termination of services of Ms. Seema d/o Shri Dev Raj, r/o V.P.O. Rainta, Tehsil Dehra, District Kangra, H.P. during September, 2020 by the Project Director, Him Institute for Rural Development TI, Office Near Petrol Pump, V.P.O. Khola, Tehsil Jawalamukhi, District Kangra, H.P., without complying with the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, is legal and justified? If not, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above worker is entitled to from the above employer?"

#### LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

#### **NOTIFICATION**

Shimla-171 001, the 21st October, 2021

**No.** 11-5/99(Lab)ID/2021-Chamba.— It appears to the undersigned that an industrial dispute exists between Shri Shafi Mohammad s/o Shri Jaan Mohammad, r/o Village Beri, P.O. Palyur, Tehsil & District Chamba, H.P. and (i) the General Manager, Jagdambay Hydro Project Quarsi, Jagdambay Hydro Project LLP, 1st Floor Building, Village Guganh, Tehsil & District Chamba, H.P. (ii) the Project Manager, Jagdambay Hydro Project, Office at Village Hilling, P.O. Lamu, Sub-Tehsil Holi, District Chamba, H.P. (iii) Mr. Murgesh Shah Zaveri & Co. Private Limited, Swajat CG Ahamdabad, Gujarat on the issue of alleged illegal termination from services w.e.f. 31-05-2020.

As per the report under section-12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 submitted by the Labour Inspector-*cum*-Conciliation Officer, Chamba, District Chamba, H.P., he tried his level best to settle the dispute during conciliation proceedings but could not succeed. The report so received has been considered by the undersigned and as per power vested under sub section-5 of Section-12 of the Act *ibid*, the undersigned has formed an opinion and decided that this dispute is required to be legally adjudicated by the Labour Court/ Industrial Tribunal.

Therefore, the undersigned while exercising the powers of appropriate Government vested in me *vide* Himachal Pradesh Government Notification No.: Shram (A) 4-9/2006-IV-Loose, Dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under sub section-1 of Section-10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal, Kangra at Dharamshala, District Kangra, H.P. constituted under Section-7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/ issues for legal adjudication:—

"Whether the oral termination of services of Shri Shafi Mohammad s/o Shri Jaan Mohammad, r/o Village Beri, P.O. Palyur, Tehsil & District Chamba, H.P. w.e.f. 31-05-2020 by (i) the General Manager, Jagdambay Hydro Project Quarsi, Jagdambay Hydro Project LLP, 1st Floor Building, Village Guganh, Tehsil & District Chamba, H.P. (ii) the Project Manager, Jagdambay Hydro Project, Office at Village Hilling, P.O. Lamu, Sub Tehsil Holi, District Chamba, H.P. (iii) Mr. Murgesh Shah Zaveri & Co. Private Limited, Swajat CG Ahamdabad, Gujarat, without complying with the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, is legal and justified? If not, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above worker is entitled to from the above empioyers/management?"

Sd/-

Joint Labour Commissioner.

#### LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

#### NOTIFICATION

Shimla-171 001, the 20th February, 2021

No. 11-1/85(Lab)ID/2021-Kangra.—It appears to the undersigned that an industrial dispute exists between Shri Sandeep Singh s/o Shri Viresh Kumar, r/o V.P.O. Dahab, Tehsil

Fatehpur, District Kangra, H.P. and the Employer/Management, M/S GVK Emergency Management and Research Institute, Village Dharampur, Shimla-Chandigarh Highway, District Solan, H.P. on the issue of alleged illegal verbal termination from services *w.e.f.* 04-04-2019.

As per the report under section-12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 submitted by the Labour Inspector-*cum*-Conciliation Officer, Palampur, District Kangra, H.P., she tried her level best to settle the dispute during conciliation proceedings but could not succeed. The report so received has been considered by the undersigned and as per power vested under sub section-5 of Section-12 of the Act *ibid*, the undersigned has formed an opinion and decided that this dispute is required to be legally adjudicated by the Labour Court/ Industrial Tribunal.

Therefore, the undersigned while exercising the powers of appropriate Government vested in me *vide* Himachal Pradesh Government Notification No.: Shram (A) 4-9/2006-IV-Loose, Dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under sub section-1 of Section-10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal, Kangra at Dharamshala, District Kangra, H.P. constituted under section-7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/ issues for legal adjudication:—

"Whether the verbal termination of services of Shri Sandeep Singh s/o Shri Viresh Kumar, r/o V.P.O. Dahab, Tehsil Fatehpur, District Kangra, H.P. by the Employer/Management, M/s GVK Emergency Management and Research Institute, Village Dharampur, Shimla-Chandigarh Highway, District Solan, H.P. w.e.f. 04-04-2019 without complying with the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, is legal and justified? If not, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above worker is entitled to from the above employer/Management?"

Sd/-Deputy Labour Commissioner.

#### LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

#### **NOTIFICATION**

Shimla-171 001, the 5th March, 2022

**No. 11-23/84(Lab)ID/2022-Una.**—It appears to the undersigned that an industrial dispute exists between Shri Sandeep Chand s/o Shri Bidhi Chand, r/o V.P.O. Diara, Tehsil Amb, District Una, H.P. and the Managing Director, M/s Inox Wind Limited, Plot No.-1, Khasra No.-264-267, Industrial Area, V.P.O. Basal, Tehsil & District Una, H.P. on the issue of alleged illegal termination from services *w.e.f.* 29-02-2020 *vide* letter dated 07-03-2020 after conducting domestic enquiry.

As per the report under section-12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 submitted by the Labour Inspector-*cum*-Conciliation Officer, Una, District Una, H.P., he tried his level best to settle the dispute during conciliation proceedings but could not succeed. The report so received has been considered by the undersigned and as per power vested under sub section-5 of Section-12 of the Act *ibid*, the undersigned has formed an opinion and decided that this dispute is required to be legally adjudicated by the Labour Court/ Industrial Tribunal.

Therefore, the undersigned while exercising the powers of appropriate Government vested in me *vide* Himachal Pradesh Government Notification No.: Shram (A) 4-9/2006-IV-Loose, Dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under sub section-1 of Section-10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal, Kangra at Dharamshala, District Kangra, H.P. constituted under section-7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/ issues for legal adjudication:—

"Whether the termination of services of Shri Sandeep Chand s/o Shri Bidhi Chand, r/o V.P.O. Diara, Tehsil Amb, District Una, H.P. by the Managing Director, M/s Inox Wind Limited, Plot No.-1, Khasra No.-264-267, Industrial Area, V.P.O. Basal, Tehsil & District Una, H.P. w.e.f. 29-02-2020 vide letter dated 07-03-2020 after conducting domestic enquiry and without complying with the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, is legal and justified? If not, what amount of back wages, seniority, compensation and past service benefits the above worker is entitled to from the above employer/management?"

Sd/
Joint Labour Commissioner

## In the Court of Sh. Bhanu Gupta (H.P.A.S.), Sub-Divisional Magistrate, Shimla (Urban), District Shimla, Himachal Pradesh

Miss Neha d/o Sh. Arjun Singh, r/o Sunny Meal, Cart Road, PWD Store Near RTO, Shimla District Shimla (H.P.) . . . . Applicant.

Versus

General Public .. Respondent.

Application under section 13(3) of Birth and Death Registration Act, 1969.

Miss Neha d/o Sh. Arjun Singh, r/o Sunny Meal, Cart Road, PWD Store Near RTO, Shimla District Shimla (H.P.) has preferred an application to the undersigned for registration of date of birth of herself namely NEHA (DOB-06-02-2000) at above address in the record of Municipal Corporation, Shimla.

Therefore through this proclamation, the general public is hereby informed that any person having any objection for entry of date of birth mentioned above, may submit his objection in writing in this court within 30 (Thirty) days from the date of publication of this notice in official Gazette. No objection will be entertained after prescribed period and application will be decided accordingly.

Given under my hand and seal of the Court on this 2nd November, 2022.

BHANU GUPTA (HPAS), Sub-Divisional Magistrate, Shimla (Urban), District Shimla (H.P.).

## In the Court of Sh. Nishant Kumar (H.P.A.S.), Sub-Divisional Magistrate, Shimla (Rural), District Shimla (H.P.)

- 1. Sh. Vikram Kanwar s/o Sh. Shiv Pal Singh Kanwar, r/o Village & P.O. Malyana, Tehsil Shimla Rural, District Shimla, Himachal Pradesh.
- 2. Shalini Thakur d/o Sh. Ajmer Singh, r/o Village Kamli, P.O. Parwanoo (Taksal), Tehsil Kasauli, District Solan, Himachal Pradesh.

Versus

#### General Public

Subject.—Registration of Marriage under section 8(4) of the Himachal Pradesh Registration of Marriage Act, 1996.

Sh. Vikram Kanwar s/o Sh. Shiv Pal Singh Kanwar, r/o Village & P.O. Malyana, Tehsil Shimla Rural, District Shimla, Himachal Pradesh and Shalini Thakur d/o Sh. Ajmer Singh, r/o Village Kamli, P.O. Parwanoo (Taksal), Tehsil Kasauli, District Solan, Himachal Pradesh have filed an application alongwith affidavits in the court of the undersigned stating therein that they have solemnized their marriage on 04-12-2015 but the marriage has not been found entered in the record of Registrar of Gram Panchayat concerned/ Municipal Corporation Shimla.

Therefore, objections are hereby invited from the General Public through this notice, that if anyone has any objection regarding registration of this marriage, they can file their objections personally or in writing before the court of undersigned on or before 28-11-2022. After that no objection shall be entertained and marriage will be registered accordingly.

Issued under my hand and seal of the court today on 27th day of October, 2022.

Seal.

NISHANT KUMAR (H.P.A.S.), Marriage Officer-cum-Sub-Divisional Magistrate, Shimla (Rural).

## In the Court of Sh. Nishant Kumar (H.P.A.S.), Sub- Divisional Magistrate, Shimla (Rural), District Shimla (H.P.)

- 1. Sh. Nitin Sood s/o Sh. Ashok Kumar Sood, r/o Goel Apartments, Flat No.1, Kangnadhar, Phase-III, New Shimla, Tehsil & District Shimla, Himachal Pradesh.
- 2. Tanya Gupta d/o Sh. Rajesh Gupta, r/o House No. 2290, Sector 15, Sonipat Haryana-131001.

Versus

#### General Public

Subject.—Registration of Marriage under section 8(4) of the Himachal Pradesh Registration of Marriage Act, 1996.

Sh. Nitin Sood s/o Sh. Ashok Kumar Sood, r/o Goel Apartments, Flat No.1, Kangnadhar, Phase-III, New Shimla, Tehsil & District Shimla, Himachal Pradesh and Tanya Gupta d/o Sh. Rajesh Gupta, r/o House No. 2290, Sector 15, Sonipat Haryana-131001 have filed an application alongwith affidavits in the court of the undersigned stating therein that they have solemnized their marriage on 05-07-2022 but the marriage has not been found entered in the records of Registrar of marriages of Gram Panchayat concerned/ Municipal Corporation Shimla.

Therefore, objections are hereby invited from the General Public through this notice, that if anyone has any objection regarding registration of this marriage, they can file their objections personally or in writing before the court of undersigned on or before 29-11-2022. After that no objection shall be entertained and marriage will be registered accordingly.

Issued under my hand and seal of the court today on 28th day of October, 2022.

Seal.

NISHANT KUMAR (H.P.A.S.), Marriage Officer-cum-Sub-Divisional Magistrate, Shimla (Rural).

### ब अदालत सहायक समाहर्ता प्रथम श्रेणी, कुमारसैन, तहसील कुमारसैन, जिला शिमला (हि0प्र0)

श्रीमती सुदर्शना पुत्री श्री देवी दत्त पुत्र ईशरु, निवासी कशमेड़ी, डाकघर बड़ागांव द्वारा श्री अभय पुत्र श्रीमती सुदर्शना, निवासी कशमेड़ी, डाकघर बड़ागांव, तहसील कुमारसैन, जिला शिमला (हि0 प्र0) प्रार्थी।

बनाम

आम जनता "प्रत्यार्थी।

भू—राजस्व अधिनियम, 1954 की धारा (37)1 के तहत राजस्व कागजात में नाम दुरुस्ती बारे दरख्वास्त।

श्री अभय पुत्र श्रीमती सुदर्शना पुत्री श्री देवी दत्त, निवासी कशमेड़ी, डाकघर बड़ागांव, तहसील कुमारसैन, जिला शिमला (हि0 प्र0) ने अदालत हजा में अपनी मृतक माता का नाम दुरुस्त करने हेतु प्रार्थना—पत्र मय नकल जमाबन्दी साल 2018—2019, प्रतिलिपि मृत्यु प्रमाण—पत्र, प्रतिलिपि शेक्षणिक प्रमाण—पत्र, प्रतिलिपी आधार कार्ड आदि सहित गुजार कर निवेदन किया है कि पटवार वृत्त कुमारसैन के राजस्व रिकार्ड में अराजी खाता/खतौनी नं0 75 मिन/119 मिन, खसरा नम्बर 884, रकबा तादादी 00—01—17 है0, महाल कुमारसैन में उसकी माता का नाम रंजना गलत दर्ज है, जबिक प्रार्थी के मुताबिक उसकी माता का नाम प्रस्तुत करवाये गए सबूतों के अनुरूप सुदर्शना है। प्रार्थी ने निवेदन किया है कि पटवार वृत्त कुमारसैन, महाल कुमारसैन के राजस्व कागजात में उसकी माता के नाम को दुरुस्त करने के आदेश पारित किये जावें।

अतः आम जनता को इस इश्तहार के माध्यम से सूचित किया जाता है कि यदि प्रार्थी की माता का नाम राजस्व अभिलेख में रंजना के स्थान पर सुदर्शना दर्ज कर लिया जावे तो इस बारे किसी को कोई उजर/एतराज हो तो वह मिति 29—11—2022 को प्रातः 11.00 बजे तक अथवा इस तिथि से पूर्व किसी कार्य दिवस में असालतन/वकालतन हाजिर आकर अपना एतराज दर्ज करवा सकता है अन्यथा इस तिथि तक कोई भी एतराज पेश न होने की सूरत में प्रार्थी की माता का नाम कागजात माल में दुरुस्त करने के आदेश पारित कर दिए जाएंगे।

यह आदेश आज दिनांक 29–10–2022 को हस्ताक्षर व मोहर सहित जारी हुए।

मोहर ।

हस्ताक्षरित / – सहायक समाहर्ता प्रथम श्रेणी, तहसील कुमारसैन, जिला शिमला (हि0 प्र0)।

\_\_\_\_\_

## In the Court of Shri Multan Singh Banyal, Executive Magistrate (Tehsildar) Solan, District Solan (H. P.)

In the matter of:

Smt. Lata w/o Shri Sandeep, r/o Village Kaleen, Ward No. 13, Solan, Tehsil & District Solan, Himachal Pradesh . . . Applicant.

Versus

General Public ... Respondent.

Application under section 13(3) of Birth and Death Registration Act, 1969.

Smt. Lata w/o Shri Sandeep, r/o Village Kaleen, Ward No. 13, Solan, Tehsil & District Solan, Himachal Pradesh has moved an application before the undersigned under section 13(3) of Birth & Death Registration Act, 1969 alongwith affidavit and other documents for entering of date of birth of her daughter namely Nandini *i.e.* 03-04-2010 at home Village Kaleen, Ward No. 13, Solan, Tehsil & District Solan, Himachal Pradesh but her date of birth could not be entered in the record of Municipal Corporation Solan, Tehsil & District Solan.

Therefore, by this proclamation, the general public is hereby informed that any person having any objection(s) for the registration of delayed date of birth of Nandini d/o Shri Sandeep, r/o Village Kaleen, Ward No. 13, Solan, Tehsil & District Solan, Himachal Pradesh may submit their objection in writing or appear in person in this court on or before 16-11-2022 at 10.00 A.M., failing which no objection will be entertained after expiry of date.

Given under my hand and seal of the court on this 17th day of October, 2022.

Seal.

MULTAN SINGH BANYAL, Executive Magistrate (Tehsildar), Solan, District Solan (H. P.).

In the Court of Shri Multan Singh Banyal, Executive Magistrate (Tehsildar) Solan, District Solan (H. P.)

In the matter of .

Smt. Lata w/o Shri Sandeep, r/o Village Kaleen, Ward No. 13, Solan, Tehsil & District Solan, Himachal Pradesh . . . Applicant.

Versus

General Public ... Respondent.

Application under section 13(3) of Birth and Death Registration Act, 1969.

Smt. Lata w/o Shri Sandeep, r/o Village Kaleen, Ward No. 13, Solan, Tehsil & District Solan, Himachal Pradesh has moved an application before the undersigned under section 13(3) of Birth & Death Registration Act, 1969 alongwith affidavit and other documents for entering of date of birth of her daughter namely Tanvi *i.e.* 10-04-2012 at home Village Kaleen, Ward No. 13, Solan, Tehsil & District Solan, Himachal Pradesh but her date of birth could not be entered in the record of Municipal Corporation Solan, Tehsil & District Solan.

Therefore, by this proclamation, the general public is hereby informed that any person having any objection(s) for the registration of delayed date of birth of Tanvi d/o Shri Sandeep, r/o Village Kaleen, Ward No. 13, Solan, Tehsil & District Solan, Himachal Pradesh may submit their objection in writing or appear in person in this court on or before 16-11-2022 at 10.00 A.M., failing which no objection will be entertained after expiry of date.

Given under my hand and seal of the court on this 17th day of October, 2022.

Seal.

MULTAN SINGH BANYAL, Executive Magistrate (Tehsildar), Solan, District Solan (H. P.).

## In the Court of Shri Multan Singh Banyal, Executive Magistrate (Tehsildar) Solan, District Solan (H. P.)

In the matter of:

Versus

General Public ... Respondent.

Application under section 13(3) of Birth and Death Registration Act, 1969.

Sh. Ankush Kumar Gill s/o Shri Vinod Kumar, r/o H. No. 496, Kashmiri Mohalla, Cantt. Board Subathu, Tehsil & District Solan has moved an application before the undersigned under section 13(3) of Birth & Death Registration Act, 1969 alongwith affidavit and other documents for entering this date of birth *i.e.* 06-10-1996 at H. No. 496, Kashmiri Mohalla, Cantt. Board Subathu, Tehsil & District Solan, Himachal Pradesh but his date of birth could not be entered in the record of Cantt. Board Subathu, Tehsil & District Solan.

Therefore, by this proclamation, the general public is hereby informed that any person having any objection(s) for the registration of delayed date of birth of Sh. Ankush Kumar Gill s/o Shri Vinod Kumar, r/o H. No. 496, Kashmiri Mohalla, Cantt. Board Subathu, Tehsil & District Solan, Himachal Pradesh may submit their objection in writing or appear in person in this court on or before 16-11-2022 at 10.00 A.M., failing which no objection will be entertained after expiry of date.

Given under my hand and seal of the court on this 17th day of October, 2022.

Seal.

MULTAN SINGH BANYAL, Executive Magistrate (Tehsildar), Solan, District Solan (H. P.).

#### In the Court of Executive Magistrate (Tehsildar) Baddi, District Solan (H.P.)

Case No.: 27 /2022. Date of Inc.

Date of Institution: 17-10-2022

Date of Decision: 17-11-2022

Smt. Astri Murmu w/o Late Shri Sunil Marandi, r/o Village Basantpur, Bannagram, Pakur Jharkhand-916117.

#### Versus

General Public through: Gram Panchayat Lodhimajra, Tehsil Baddi, District Solan (H.P.). *Application under section 13(3) of H.P. Birth and Death Registration Act, 1969.* 

Smt. Astri Murmu w/o Late Shri Sunil Marandi, r/o Village Basantpur, Bannagram, Pakur Jharkhand-916117 has filed an application under section 13(3) of the Birth & Death Registration Act, 1969 stating therein that her husband Sh. Sunil Marandi was died on dated 21-04-2021 at Village Doduwal, Tehsil Baddi, District Solan, Himachal Pradesh but her death could not be registered in Gram Panchayat Lodhimajra, Tehsil Baddi, District Solan, H.P. within stipulated period. She prayed for passing necessary orders to the Registrar, Birth & Death G. P. Lodimajra, Tehsil Baddi, District Solan for entering the same.

Therefore, by this proclamation, the general public is hereby informed that any person having objection regarding registering the death of Sh. Sunil Marandi may file his objection in this court on or before 17-11-2022, failing which no objection shall be entertained.

Given under my hand and seal on this 17-10-2022.

Seal.

Sd/-, Executive Magistrate (Tehsildar), Baddi, District Solan (H P.).

#### ब अदालत सहायक समाहर्ता प्रथम वर्ग (तहसीलदार), बद्दी, जिला सोलन, हिमाचल प्रदेश

मुकद्दमा नम्बरदारी नं0 : 2/2022

बाबत नियुक्ति नम्बरदार मौजा किशनपुरा।

इश्तहार आम जनता बाबत वाशिन्दगान देह / खेवटदारान मौजा किशनपुरा।

हरगाह हर खास व आम को बजरिया इश्तहार आम सूचित किया जाता है कि श्री परविन्द्र सिंह पुत्र स्व0 राम प्रकाश नम्बरदार साकन गांव किशनपुरा, तहसील बद्दी ने बाबत नियुक्ति नम्बरदार मौजा किशनपुरा प्रार्थना—पत्र दिया है।

अतः जिस किसी को इस नव नियुक्ति बारा एतराज हो वह अदालत हजा में असालतन या वकालतन उपस्थित आकर उजर दिनांक 21—11—2022 को या इससे पूर्व पेश कर सकता है, बाद गुजरने मियाद कोई उजर काबल समायत न होगा। आज दिनांक 20–10–2022 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत से जारी किया गया।

मोहर ।

हस्ताक्षरित / – सहायक समाहर्ता प्रथम वर्ग (तहसीलदार), तहसील बद्दी, जिला सोलन, हिमाचल प्रदेश।

\_\_\_\_\_

## In the Court of Sub-Divisional Magistrate, Kasauli-cum-Marriage Officer Kasauli, District Solan (H.P.)

- 1. Happy Shekh s/o Janab Shekhar Hasin, aged 38 years, r/o A-1, Blook-41, Parwanoo, Solan (H.P.)-173220.
  - 2. Tarana Khan d/o Nisar Ahmed, r/o House No. 2253, Phase-2, Ramdarbar, Chandigarh.

#### Versus

#### General Public

An application under section 15 of the Special Marriage Act, 1954 has been received in this court from Happy Shekh s/o Janab Shekhar Hasin, aged 38 years, r/o A-1, Blook-41, Parwanoo, Solan (H.P.)-173220 (Bridegroom) and Tarana Khan d/o Nisar Ahmed, r/o House No. 2253, Phase-2, Ramdarbar, Chandigarh to get their marriage u/s 15 of the Special Marriage Act, 1954. Before taking further action in the said application, objections from the general public are invited for the registration of this marriage, objections in this regard should reach to this court on or before 15-11-2022 failing which the marriage shall be got registered as per the provisions of the law.

Issued on 11-10-2022 my hand and seal of the court.

Seal.

DHANBIR THAKUR (HAS), Marriage Officer-cum-Sub-Divisional Magistrate, Kasauli, District Solan (H.P.).

In the Court of Collector, Sub-Division Kandaghat, District Solan (H.P.)

Case No.: 17-8/2022

Date of Instt.: 01-12-2020

D. O. D.: 21-11-2022

Sh. Pawan Singh s/o Sh. Liaq Ram, r/o Village Kakra, P.O. & Sub-Tehsil Mamleeg, District Solan (H.P.) . . . *Appellant*.

V/s

S.D.O., P.W.D., Kunihar, Sub-Tehsil Kunihar, District Solan (H.P.)

.. Respondent.

Appeal u/s 14 of HPLR, Act, against order dated 03-11-2020 passed by Assistant Collector, 2nd Grade Mamligh in demarcation case No. 12/VIII of 2020 titled as Pawan Singh and others Versus S.D.O., P.W.D., Kunihar and others.

That the above titled case pending before this Court and is fixed for hearing on dated 21-11-2022 for the service of respondent No. 2 and 7 *i.e.* Sh. Durga Prasad s/o Sh. Mansa Ram, r/o

Village Kakra, P.O. Dehola, Sub-Tehsil Mamligh, District Solan, Himachal Pradesh and Sh. Ramesh s/o Sh. Lt. Sh. Rati Ram, r/o Village Sanet, P.O. & Sub- Tehsil Mamleeg, District Solan (H.P.) respectively. The sevice of the respondent No. 2 and 7 was not effective despite various efforts. This court is in agreement that the service could not be made despite various efforts.

Therefore, by this notice the respondent No. 2 and 7 named above are hereby informed to appear before this court on 21-11-2022 to file their reply or to plead this case through their counsel, otherwise action will be taken in this case as per law.

Issued on dated 17-10-2022 under my signature and stamp of this Court.

Seal.

Sd/-

Collector, Sub-Division, Kandaghat, District Solan (H. P.).

#### ब अदालत उप-मण्डल दण्डाधिकारी, अर्की, जिला सोलन, हिमाचल प्रदेश

केस नं0 : 33 / 2022

दिनांक : 18-10-2022

तारीख पेशी : 17-11-2022

श्री अक्षय कुमार पुत्र श्री बृज मोहन, निवासी गांव खाड़ी खनोल, डाकघर कुनिहार, तहसील अर्की, जिला सोलन, हिमाचल प्रदेश।

व

नैन्सी पुत्री श्री मनसा राम, निवासी वार्ड नम्बर 1, नगर पंचायत अर्की, तहसील अर्की, जिला सोलन (हि0प्र0)

ं प्रार्थीगण।

बनाम

आम जनता

विषय.—–विशेष विवाह पंजीकरण अधिनियम, 1954 की धारा 5 के अन्तर्गत विवाह हेतु नोटिस।

प्रार्थी श्री अक्षय कुमार पुत्र श्री बृज मोहन, निवासी गांव खाड़ी खनोल, डाकघर कुनिहार, तहसील अर्की, जिला सोलन, हिमाचल प्रदेश तथा नैन्सी पुत्री श्री मनसा राम, निवासी वार्ड नम्बर 1, नगर पंचायत अर्की, तहसील अर्की, जिला सोलन (हि०प्र०) ने इस अदालत में विशेष विवाह पंजीकरण अधिनियम, 1954 की धारा 5 के अन्तर्गत विवाह हेतु नोटिस दिया है।

अतः आम जनता को इस इश्तहार द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि यदि किसी व्यक्ति को उपरोक्त प्रार्थीगण के विवाह करने बारे कोई एतराज हो तो वह दिनांक 17—11—2022 को या इससे पूर्व अपना लिखित अथवा मौखिक एतराज इस अदालत में प्रस्तुत कर सकता है। निर्धारित अवधि की समाप्ति उपरान्त उपरोक्त प्रार्थीगण को विवाह की अनुमति जारी कर दी जाएगी।

आज दिनांक 18-10-2022 को हमारे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत से जारी हुआ।

मोहर।

हस्ताक्षरित / – विवाह अधिकारी एवं उप—मण्डल दण्डाधिकारी, अर्की, तहसील अर्की, जिला सोलन (हि0प्र०)।

#### In the Court of Executive Magistrate (Naib Tehsildar) Parwanoo, District Solan (H.P.)

Case No.: 09 /2022 Date of Institution: 22-09-2022 Fixed for the hearing: 28-11-2022

Sh. Ram Lal s/o Shri Nek Ram., r/o Vill. Shewla, P.O. Nayagram, Sub-Tehsil Parwanoo, District Solan (H.P.).

#### Versus

General Public through: Gram Panchayat Mehlon, Sub-Tehsil Parwanoo, District Solan (H.P.)

Application under section 13(3) of H.P. Birth and Death Registration Act, 1969.

Sh. Ram Lal s/o Shri Nek Ram., r/o Vill. Shewla, P.O. Nayagram, Sub-Tehsil Parwanoo, District Solan (H.P.) has filed an application under section 13(3) of the Birth & Death Registration Act, 1969 alongwith letter of the Chief Medical Officer Solan, affidavits, copies of certificates and proclamation charges, stating therein that his father Sh. Nek Ram s/o Sh. Shukoo Ram expired on 01-08-2020 at their residence in Village Shewla, P.O. Nayagram, Sub-Tehsil Parwanoo, District Solan (H.P.) within stipulated time period. He has prayed for issuing necessary orders to the Gram Panchayat Mehlon, Sub-Tehsil Parwanoo, District Solan (H.P.) for entering the same in the records.

Therefore, by this proclamation, the general public is hereby informed that any person having objection regarding registering the date of death of Sh. Nek Ram s/o Late Sh. Shukoo Ram r/o Village Shewla, P.O. Nayagram, Sub-Tehsil Parwanoo, District Solan (H.P.) can raise objection in this court on or before 28-11-2022, failing which no objection shall be entertained.

Given under my hand and seal on this 28th day October, 2022

Seal. Sd/-,

Executive Magistrate (Naib Tehsildar), Parwanoo, District Solan (H P.).